

Lecture 5

General Initial Surface — The Cauchy Problem

We use the Schwartz notation for a general q th order linear differential equation for a function $u : D_{\subset \mathbb{R}^n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$

$$Lu = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} A_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u = B(x) \quad (1)$$

or put in form

$$Lu = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u + g(x, \{\partial^\alpha u\}_{|\alpha| < q}) \quad (2)$$

We write (2) explicitly

$$Lu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_1} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_2} \dots \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_n}}_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq q \\ \alpha_n < q}} A_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)}(x) \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} u(x) + g(x, \{\partial^\alpha u\}_{\sum_i \alpha_i \leq q-1})$$

Definition 1 (Cauchy Problem). *The Cauchy problem consists of finding a solution $u(x)$ for (1) or (2) in which the Cauchy data (general initial condition) is defined on a hyper-surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ given by*

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad (3)$$

where $\phi \in C^q$ and the surface should be regular in the sense that

$$\nabla \phi \neq 0$$

Definition 2. (Cauchy Data) *The Cauchy data on S for a q th order equation consists of the derivatives of u of orders less than or equal to $q-1$*

The definition above essentially means that we are to evaluate the derivatives of u with respect to x_n on S under the derivative order constraint indicated above. It must be understood that such data cannot be arbitrarily chosen, as they must satisfy certain compatibility conditions, for all functions regular near S . We will explain the phrase "computability conditions" through the following example below. We are in aim to find a solution u near S which has these Cauchy data on S . We choose $x_n = t$, which is usual said to be the 'time' derivative.

Consider

$$u_{tt} - u_t - u_x = 0, \quad S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : t = 0\} \quad (4)$$

The hyper-surface S is a special case in which it defines subspace $\mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ where all t components are zero. In particular $S : \phi(t) = 0$ satisfying

$$\nabla \phi = (0, 1) \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^2}$$

The derivatives of u required by Definition 2 which are to be evaluated on S (that is $t = 0$) for order $k = 0, 1$ ($\leq q - 1$)

$$u(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x) \quad (5)$$

$$u_x(x, 0) = \varphi(x) = \underbrace{\partial_x \psi_0(x)}_{\text{condition}} \quad (6)$$

where ψ_k, φ are functions that only depend on x which are prescribed on S . Notice above that the first and third equations must be consistent with each other; this is what we mean by compatibility condition.

Definition 3. We call S noncharacteristic is we can get all $\partial^\alpha u$ for $|\alpha| = q$ on S from a linear algebraic system consisting of the computability conditions and the differential equation (1) or (2) taken on S . We call S characteristic if it is not noncharacteristic.

We aim to find an algebraic criterion for characteristic surfaces. We will be referring to the example above with its defined surface S . In general the Cauchy data consists of $\partial^\beta u$ with $|\beta| \leq q-1$ evaluated on S . In our case $u(x, 0), u_t(x, 0), u_x(x, 0)$. We call the derivatives with respect to x_n (in our case t) of orders less than or equal to $q-1$ the 'normal' derivatives on S . That is

$$\partial_n^k u = \partial_t^k u = \psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, q-1 \quad x \in S \quad (7)$$

In our example that would be equations in (5). Meanwhile the rest of derivatives we have on S

$$\partial^\beta u = \partial_1^{\beta_1} \partial_2^{\beta_2} \dots \partial_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}} \psi_{\beta_n} \quad \beta_n \leq q-1 \quad (8)$$

which is in our case equation (6). We notice for $|\beta| \leq q-1$ we have all the compatibility conditions expressing the Cauchy data in terms of the normal derivatives (7).

Consider now index

$$\alpha^* = (0, \dots, 0, q)$$

We see (using our example) u_{tt} cannot be expressed by (6), since the derivatives vanish. This shows that it is essential to have the PDE (1) for it is then used to express $u_{tt}(x, 0)$ in terms of (8) (i.e the Cauchy data). Note that if we had other 2nd order derivatives of u they would be included in (8). We come to our conclusion that for us to uniquely determine u near S , we require $A_{\alpha^*} \neq 0$; in our example $\alpha^* = (0, 2)$ so $A_{(0,2)} u_{tt}$ is the part of (1) where we have $A_{\alpha^*} = 1 \neq 0$. This is the case of (1) linear, for if it is quasi-linear, we would need to know ψ_k as it multiplies with $\partial_n^q u$.

We saw how the nature of S imposes conditions on coefficients of derivatives of u satisfying $|\alpha| = q$. The Characteristic form is defined

$$C(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (9)$$

We require

$$C(\nabla \phi) \neq 0 \quad (10)$$

We rewrite (2) by combining the leading derivative variable x_n to include it in the sum

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u + g(\dots) = 0, \quad \text{with } A_\beta(0) \neq 0, \beta = (0, 0, \dots, 0, q). \quad (11)$$

What this means is that we want this PDE to be of order q , so we want conditions $A_\beta(0) \neq 0$

Now consider a general analytic surface: A mapping operator Φ that is invertible in a nbhd of s and is analytic:

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_i(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)) \quad y_i = \phi_i(x) \quad (12)$$

$D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the pre-image of D by $S = \Phi^{-1}(D) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \phi_n(x) = 0\}$ in nbhd of 0. We derive partial derivative expressions by chain rule

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_k \partial y_l} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial y_l}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_k} \frac{\partial^2 y_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha \partial_x^\alpha u = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} B_\alpha \partial_y^\alpha u + \text{lower terms.} \quad (14)$$

In the specific case of $\alpha = \beta$

$$B_\beta = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial x_i} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n}. \quad (15)$$

We want $B_\beta(0) \neq 0$.

$$C(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (16)$$

Characteristic form of (11)

Definition 4. If the surface $S = \{x : \phi_n(x) = 0\} : \nabla \phi(x) \neq 0$, satisfies $C(x, \nabla \phi(x)) = 0$, then S is called a characteristic at x .

$$\{\xi : C(x, \xi) = 0\} - \quad \text{Characteristic cone at } x$$

Cauchy problem for (11) has a unique analytic solution near s , if S is nowhere characteristic.
Eg. Laplace :

$$C(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2$$

No characteristic surface.

Wave:

$$C(x, \xi) = \xi_n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i^2.$$

Characteristic surface is a cone.

Heat:

$$C(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i^2$$

Characteristic cone = $\{x : x_1 = \dots x_{n-1} = 0\}$ so Characteristic surface = $\{x : x_n = \text{const}\}$

Transport

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(x) \partial_i u = f, \quad C(x, \xi) = \sum_i \alpha_i(x) \xi_i \quad C(x, \nabla \phi(x)) = 0 \quad (17)$$

— Suppose S is characteristic

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=q, \alpha_n < q} B_\alpha \partial_y^\alpha u + \text{lot} = 0, \quad \text{initial data } u, \partial_m u, \dots, \partial_m^{q-1} u$$

Constraint in initial data.

$C - K$ theorem is local.

$C - K$ works on analytic setting.

Analytic data \implies unique analytic solution.

Analytic data \implies non-analytic sol. unique for linear equations.

Non-analytic data : No general theory.

Initial data $\psi \mapsto u = S(\psi)$ solution. ψ is continuous $\implies \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \psi_\epsilon \in C^\omega,$

$$\|\psi - \psi_\epsilon\| \leq \epsilon, \quad u_\epsilon = S(\psi_\epsilon).$$

Is there u s.t $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$?

Does $\psi \approx \phi$ implies $S(\psi) \approx S(\phi)$?

In general, no.

Eg. $\partial_t^2 u + \partial_x^2 u = 0 \quad u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = \frac{\sin(nx)}{n} :$

Proof. Assume solution form

$$u(x, t) = k(t) \sin(nx).$$

$$k'' - n^2 k = 0 \implies k(t) = A \exp nt + B \exp^{-nt}$$

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\sin(nx)}{n^2} (e^{nt} - e^{-nt}) \quad (18)$$

We see that although for small values of x (ie. values near zero) our initial conditions are small, however the solution about small values of x are not bounded due to the exponential functions of t . This problem is not well posed. \square

Hyperbolic Equations : transport, Wave.

Elliptic Equations: laplace.

Parabolic : heat

Dispersive: Schnodinger.

—

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=q} A_\alpha \partial^\alpha u + g(\dots) = 0$$

Types of first order PDE's

$$A_\alpha = A_\alpha(x) \quad \textit{semilinear}$$

$$A_\alpha = A_\alpha(x, \{\partial^\beta u\}_{|\beta| < q}) \quad \textit{quasilinear}$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq q} A_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u = f \quad \textit{linear.}$$

$$A_\alpha(x) = \textit{const} \quad \textit{cont.coef.}$$